

From: GinA, MTJL <gina@mainetenants.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 1:35 AM
To: 'Ed Silvercloud'
Subject: RE: RE: ebay from taxliensales

I understand.

The primary reason I asked for your caselaw was so I could focus my research quickly without doing the preliminary research to refine my topic.

As you know, refining your topic is paramount when arguing a legal point which, as I am sure you also know, requires a lot of time and reading... I was simply trying to save you some money by springboarding off your preliminary research.

I have the ability to log into versuslaw.com as well as other legal research sites to conduct the necessary research without going to my local law library.

I will telephone you tomorrow (Tuesday August 1, 2017) so I can get more specific details about the conservator's actions and the current status of the case.

Once we talk for about 30-60 minutes and I am comfortable with the fine details of this case, I can begin the research.

What would be a good time to call?

GinA

From: Ed Silvercloud [mailto:edex@usa.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 1:26 AM
To: GinA, MTJL
Subject: Re: RE: ebay from taxliensales

Hello GinA,

Thank you for your response. As far as my research about this type of cases are concerned I did that month ago and I spent over an hour to find the case histories of similar codes. But in order for me to do it again, I have to go back to the law library and do the same research. This is why I am looking for someone who is good in doing legal research for Points and Authorities. If you can do it or have someone assisting you do it would be of great help to me as I am undergoing some medical issues and that doesn't give me enough time to do almost any thing.

Please let me know if you have the resources to find P & As so we can move forward.
Thanks
Ed

Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 at 9:07 PM
From: "GinA, MTJL" <gina@mainetenants.org>
To: "Ed Silvercloud" <edex@usa.com>
Subject: RE: ebay from taxliensales

Hi Ed. Thank you for providing a very descriptive outline of this case.

You said you “found several cases strictly based on the above probate court that rendered any such financial and legal documents illegal”.

It would be very helpful to have the names of those cases to begin our research so we know exactly what you are seeking for legal precedents.

We can definitely help you draft the Motion and Memorandum of Points and Authorities and find relevant caselaw to support your legal arguments.

To show you a sample of my work, you can visit my Scribd page
<https://www.scribd.com/user/30305302/GinA> .

Please understand that I am not an attorney so I cannot and will not provide any legal advice. I do, however, have more than 25 years’ experience as a legal secretary and administrative/legal assistant for private lawyers and state government agencies.

I am comfortable working with confidential information and affirm that all confidential information provided to me during this project will never be sold, shared, or disseminated to any individual or published in any public or private forum without your express written permission.

Once you send us the case names for the relevant precedents on point for this case, we will begin our research.

Thank you for requesting our services. We are excited to help you win this case!

GinA

From: Ed Silvercloud [mailto:edex@usa.com]
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 10:42 PM
To: gina@mainetenants.org
Subject: Ref: ebay from taxliensales

Hi Gina,

The case I need help with is about a probate Motion. According to California Probate code section 2580, Article 10, requires that a named conservator of an estate (not on person) must file a Petition to the Probate court to authorize the conservator to obtain a "Substituted judgment" authority in order to modify, alter, or create a new Will. This case is directly related to this code violation.

A mother conservatee (now Decedent), made a Will in Los Angeles years before becoming a conservatee of her daughter who was assigned by the court as the conservator of the mother. Mother and daughter have not been on speaking terms since last 15 years or so. Mother lived in Pasadena California while the daughter lives in Boston. After mother's unsuccessful attempt to regain her control and to vacate the conservatorship through the court, she decided to go back to India to live with her family who took care of her. She was alone in her big house with no one to look after her. In mother's absence from the house, daughter visits mother's vacant house by breaking the locks, finds a copy of the Last Will that the mother made in 2008. Daughter, conservator, upon finding out that her mother has disowned her from her Will, flies to India and compels the mother to create a new Will where daughter names herself as the exclusive beneficiary of a 3 million plus dollars estate of the mother. She flies back and files for the probate based on this newly created Will despite the fact a valid California notarized Will of 2008 existed.

By law court required the daughter (conservator) to send notices to all the siblings of the decedent. That's when I found out and the family in India asked me to submit the old Will in contest, which I did. Named Executor of the Will of 2008 is the elder sister of the Decedent in India. Daughter became the conservator of the mother in 2011, 3 years before her conservatorship was established by the court.

I have done some research at the Ventura County Law Library and found several cases strictly based on the above probate court that rendered any such financial and legal documents illegal if obtained by the conservator on self interest basis.

What I am trying to do is to file a Motion in the Probate court based on the above Probate codes with strong Memorandum of Points and Authorities to compel the court to render the last Will of the Conservator invalid in violation of the State of California Probate Court laws.

Can you help me draft the Motion? If not, can you put together a strong and powerful list of Points and Authorities in support of my Motion?

If you can do either of the above I will go ahead and pay you not for two but 4 hours of your time instead.

Thanks

Ed

(805) 217-2419